## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Julio Ridley Casiano	Case No.1:19-cr-00189-JTN
	Defendant  After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Refendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
		Findings of Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense describe	d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence	is death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had bus.c. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta	neen convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ate or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but a minor victim the possession or use of a firear	involves: m or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
	a failure to register under 18 U.S	
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	e date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presperson or the community. I further find that defend	sumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another ant has not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternat	tive Findings (A)
<u>√</u> (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defenda	ant has committed an offense
	✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten year Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 e	
<u>√</u> (2)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).  The defendant has not rebutted the presumption eswill reasonably assure the defendant's appearance	stablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions
(1)	•	tive Findings (B)
(2)		nger the safety of another person or the community.
(2)		of the Reasons for Detention
	find that the testimony and information submitted at	the detention hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing
	a preponderance of the evidence that:	
1. Defen	idant waived his detention hearing, electing not to co	ontest detention at this time.

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

3. Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the court's attention should his circumstances change.

2. Defendant is subject to a hold/detainer and would not be released in any case.

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	August 15, 2019	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	